



Competition Damages Directive - leniency applicants and the end of exemplary damages

Background

On 27 December 2014 the EU's competition law Damages Directive (Directive 2014/104/EU) entered into force. The Directive attempts to resolve a number of long-running debates that have been going on in this area with the aim of making actions for damages in competition law cases more effective. One of these involves the protection of leniency and settlement applicants.

Leniency programmes and settlement procedures play a key role in the enforcement of competition law as they contribute to exposing cartels and bringing them to an end. Businesses that cooperate with the competition authorities are required to provide full information and accept that they have been involved in an infringement. If these facts were usable by those seeking damages it would make it harder for those who cooperate with competition authorities to defend themselves against damages actions than businesses which had not cooperated.

This has led to a tension between two key limbs of competition policy:

- increasing the availability of information for those seeking damages to ensure they can achieve appropriate redress for any loss suffered; and
- protecting the incentives for businesses to cooperate with competition authorities.

One of the key aims of the Directive is to balance these two competing objectives.

Directive protections

The Directive puts in place a number of protections for leniency and settlement applicants:

- in relation to **disclosure of documents**, national courts cannot *at any time* order a party or a third party to disclose either leniency statements or settlement submissions; and

- in relation to **joint and several liability**, immunity recipients are only liable to their direct and indirect purchasers. In other words, they are relieved from joint and several liability for the whole harm caused and their contribution in relation to co-infringers will not exceed their relative responsibility for the harm caused by the cartel. The only exception is where injured parties cannot obtain compensation from the other undertakings involved in the same infringement.

Exemplary damages

The Directive does away with the possibility of exemplary damages (i.e. damages awarded not due to loss, but simply to punish wrongdoing). These are currently available in England and Wales in a limited range of circumstances in relation to competition infringements (*Devenish* and *Cardiff Bus*). One of the factors in considering whether exemplary damages are available is whether the undertaking has already been fined for its actions. *Devenish* had been fined, which was a clear contributing reason for not awarding exemplary damages in that case. However, *Cardiff Bus* did face (limited) exemplary damages as its turnover was too low for it to be fined by the OFT for its infringing behaviour. Leniency applicants might face similar risk having not been fined and it is clear that it would be inappropriate for public policy reasons for immunity recipients to be open to punitive damages.

Conclusion

The Directive can be seen as balancing the desire of competition authorities to encourage leniency applications against the ease of obtaining effective redress for losses suffered as a result of competition law infringements. Whether or not it strikes exactly the right balance is something that will emerge over time.

Contact us

Burges Salmon's competition team is extremely highly regarded. For example, we successfully represented Cardiff Bus in one of the few competition damages actions to go to trial to date and the first to really consider issues of causation and loss. We have been involved in numerous applications for leniency and settlements in a range of competition cases in various markets.

For further information on competition damages matters please contact:



Laura Claydon
Partner

+44 (0)117 939 2273
laura.claydon@burges-salmon.com



Noel Beale
Director, Competition – Regulation

+44 (0)117 307 6056
noel.beale@burges-salmon.com



Brian Wong
Senior Associate

+44 (0)117 902 7759
brian.wong@burges-salmon.com



Ruth Jefferson
Associate

+44 (0)117 307 6053
ruth.jefferson@burges-salmon.com

Burges Salmon LLP, One Glass Wharf, Bristol BS2 0ZX Tel: +44 (0) 117 939 2000 Fax: +44 (0) 117 902 4400
6 New Street Square, London EC4A 3BF Tel: +44 (0) 20 7685 1200 Fax: +44 (0) 20 7980 4966

www.burges-salmon.com

Burges Salmon LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales (LLP number OC307212), and is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority. It is also regulated by the Law Society of Scotland. Its registered office is at One Glass Wharf, Bristol BS2 0ZX. A list of the members may be inspected at its registered office. Further information about Burges Salmon entities, including details of their regulators, is set out in the 'Who we are' section of the Burges Salmon website at www.burges-salmon.com.

© Burges Salmon LLP 2015. All rights reserved. Extracts may be reproduced with our prior consent, provided that the source is acknowledged. Disclaimer: This briefing gives general information only and is not intended to be an exhaustive statement of the law. Although we have taken care over the information, you should not rely on it as legal advice. We do not accept any liability to anyone who does rely on its content.

Data Protection: Your details are processed and kept securely in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. We may use your personal information to send information to you about our products and services, newsletters and legal updates; to invite you to our training seminars and other events; and for analysis including generation of marketing reports. To help us keep our database up to date, please let us know if your contact details change or if you do not want to receive any further marketing material by contacting marketing@burges-salmon.com.