



Corporate Turnaround and Insolvency

Transactions defrauding creditors and intention

In *Williams Trustee in Bankruptcy of Jonathan James Taylor v Taylor* 23/10/2012, a trustee in bankruptcy failed in a s.423 action as he was unable to prove the existence of an intent on the part of the debtor to put assets beyond the reach of creditors. The case shows that the existence of such intent can be difficult to prove, even where there are fairly persuasive factors which point towards it being present.

The facts

Mr Taylor had been a director and guarantor of a family company. In 2002 he invested £120k into the company. This money was lent to him by his wife. The Company was put into creditors' voluntary liquidation in April 2003. 20 days after the liquidation, Mr Taylor executed a transfer of a property held in his name into the joint names of himself and his wife as tenants in common in equal shares. No consideration was stated to be given for the transfer.

A month later, Mr and Mrs Taylor executed a declaration of trust which recited that Mrs Taylor had made contributions of £120k towards improving the property and that she had made a loan to Mr Taylor of £120k. The declaration of trust also stated that Mr Taylor was solvent and that a mortgage on the property, which had been obtained to discharge Mr Taylor's prior liabilities, was to be discharged from his interest in the property prior to being discharged from Mrs Taylor's interest.

The property was later sold and half the sale proceeds were used to discharge the mortgage. The remainder was used to buy another property free of charge in Mrs Taylor's sole name. In 2009 Mr Taylor was made bankrupt and Mr Williams was appointed as his trustee in bankruptcy. The trustee applied to set aside the transfer of the property into joint names and sought to recover the new property bought by Mrs Taylor.

What did the court decide?

At first instance, the judge decided that the real and substantial purpose of the transfer was to give effect to an earlier agreement that Mr Taylor had made with his wife and that escaping his liabilities had not been part of Mr Taylor's purpose.

On appeal, the court upheld that judgment. Although the transaction was at an undervalue for the purposes of s.423(1)

because the consideration provided by Mrs Taylor to Mr Taylor was indirect and represented only 50 per cent of the value of the original property, the judge had been entitled to find that it had not been Mr Taylor's intention to put assets beyond the reach of creditors. Although there were *objective* factors which pointed towards the transaction being intended to defraud creditors, the judge could still consider the evidence of Mr and Mrs Taylor that this was not the case.

What does this mean for practitioners?

The appeal underlines the importance of ensuring that before proceedings are taken pursuant to s.423, the claimant establishes that there is good evidence supporting the existence of intent to put assets beyond the reach of creditors. In particular, it will be important to analyse whether there is another reason for the transaction in question – such as to give effect to an arrangement made at an earlier point in time, as was the case here.

Despite the seemingly suspicious timing of the transfer of the property (soon after the liquidation of the family company) and the lack of consideration stated in the transfer instrument, the judge was persuaded by the oral evidence of Mr and Mrs Taylor and by the recitals to the trust instrument that the true purpose of the transaction was legitimate. Seemingly the court had sufficient objective evidence to draw the opposite conclusion, but decided not to in the circumstances.

For more information on this subject, please contact:



Patrick Cook
Partner

patrick.cook@burges-salmon.com
+44(0)117 307 6807



Richard Clark
Senior Associate

richard.clark@burges-salmon.com
+44(0)117 902 6626

Burges Salmon LLP, One Glass Wharf, Bristol BS2 0ZX Tel: +44 (0) 117 939 2000 Fax: +44 (0) 117 902 4400
6 New Street Square, London EC4A 3BF Tel: +44 (0) 20 7685 1200 Fax: +44 (0) 20 7980 4966

www.burges-salmon.com

Burges Salmon LLP is a Limited Liability Partnership registered in England and Wales (LLP number OC307212) and is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority. A list of members, all of whom are solicitors, may be inspected at our registered office: One Glass Wharf, Bristol BS2 0ZX.

© Burges Salmon LLP 2012. All rights reserved. Extracts may be reproduced with our prior consent, provided that the source is acknowledged. Disclaimer: This briefing gives general information only and is not intended to be an exhaustive statement of the law. Although we have taken care over the information, you should not rely on it as legal advice. We do not accept any liability to anyone who does rely on its content.

Data Protection: Your details are processed and kept securely in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. We may use your personal information to send information to you about our products and services, newsletters and legal updates; to invite you to our training seminars and other events; and for analysis including generation of marketing reports. To help us keep our database up to date, please let us know if your contact details change or if you do not want to receive any further marketing material by contacting marketing@burges-salmon.com.